1

#### REVISED

ITEM NO.305 COURT NO.1 SECTION PIL-W

## SUPREME COURT OF INDIA RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

### SUO MOTO WRIT (CRIMINAL) NO.1/2019

RE: ALARMING RISE IN THE NUMBER OF REPORTED CHILD RAPE INCIDENTS

WITH W.P.(C) No.819/2019 (PIL-W) (With appln.(s) for intervention)

Date: 13-11-2019 This petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM:

HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK GUPTA HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV KHANNA

Mr. Surinder S. Rathi, Registrar, SCI

Mr. Rakesh Kumar Singh, DJS

For Petitioner(s)

By Courts Motion

WP(C) 819/2019 Mr. Manoj V. George, Adv.

Ms. Shilpa Liza George, Adv.

Mr. Aakarsh Kamra, AOR

Ms. Bhavika, Adv.

Mr. Renjit Abraham, Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr. Tushar Mehta, SG

Mr. A.N.S. Nadkarni, ASG

Ms. Suhasini Sen, Adv.

Mr. S.S. Rebello, Adv.

Mr. Rajat Nair, Adv.

Mr. N.K. Gokhle, Adv.

Ms. Neela Gokhale, Adv.

Mr. Ilam Paridi, Adv.

Ms. Shradha Agrawal, Adv.

Ms. Swati Ghildiyal, Adv.

Mr. Rajeev Ranjan Rajesh, Adv.

Mr. Raj Bahadur, Adv.

Mr. A.K. Sharma, Adv.

Mr. B.V. Balaram Das, Adv.

Mr. G.S. Makker, Adv.

Mr. P.N. Ravindran, Sr. Adv.

Mr. T.G.N. Nair, Adv.

Mr. V. G. Pragasam, AOR

Mr. S. Prabu Ramasubramanian, Adv.

Mr. S. Manuraj, Adv.

Ms. Uttara Babbar, AOR

Mr. Manan Bansal, Adv.

Mr. Sunny Choudhary, AOR

Mr. Sumeer Sodhi, AOR

Mr. Sherick Dhingra, Adv.

Mr. Subhasish Mohanty, AOR

Mr. Som Raj Choudhury, AOR

Mr. Prashant Kumar, Adv.

Mr. Shuvodeep Roy, AOR

Mr. Kabir Shankar Bose, Adv.

Mr. Prem Sunder Jha, AOR

Mr. Nishant Ramakantrao Katneshwarkar, AOR

Mr. Anoop Kandari, Adv.

Mr. Milind Kumar, AOR

Mr. Mahfooz Ahsan Nazki, AOR

Mr. Gowtham Polanki, Adv.

Mr. Avinash Tripathi, Adv.

Ms. K. Enatoli Sema, AOR

Mr. Amit Kumar Singh, Adv.

Ms. Jaspreet Gogia, AOR

Mr. Gopal Prasad, AOR

Mr. Jayesh Gaurav, Adv.

Shalya Agarwal, Adv.

Mr. Udit Bansal, Adv.

Ms. Astha Sharma, AOR

Mr. Siddhesh Kotwal, Adv.

Ms. Bansuri Swaraj, Adv.

Ms. Arshiya Ghose, Adv.

Mr. Divyansh Tiwari, Adv.

Ms. Ana Upadhyay, Adv.

Mr. Ashok Mathur, AOR

Ms. Aruna Mathur, Adv.

Mr. Avneesh Arputham, Adv.

Ms. Anuradha Arputham, Adv.

Ms. Geetanjali, Adv.

M/s. Arputham Aruna and Co.,

Mr. Arjun Garg, AOR

Mr. Aakash Nandolia, Adv.

Mr. S. Mahesh Sahasranaman, Adv.

Mr. Annam D. N. Rao, AOR

Mr. Venkatish Rao, Adv.

Mr. Rahul Mishra, Adv.

Ms. C. Sharma, Adv.

Mr. Aniruddha P. Mayee, AOR

Mr. A. Rajarajan, Adv.

Ms. Deepanwita Priyanka, Adv.

Mr. Aniruddha P. Mayee, AOR

Mr. A. Rajarajan, Adv.

Mr. Abhinav Mukerji, AOR

Mr. Samarth Khanna, Adv.

Mrs. Bihu Sharma, Adv.

Ms. Pratishtha Vij, Adv.

Mr. Abhimanyu Tewari, AOR

Ms. Eliza Bar, Adv.

Mr. P.H. Parekh, Sr. Adv.

Ms. Tanya Chaudhry, Adv.

Ms. Pratyusha Priyadarshini, Adv.

Ms. Nikita Pandey, Adv.

Mr. Nikhil Ramdev, Adv.

Ms. Ashna Bhatnagar, Adv.

For M/s. Parekh & Co.

Mr. R. P. Gupta, AOR

Intervenor-in-person

Mr. B. V. Balaram Das, AOR

Ms. Diksha Rai, AOR

Ms. Palak Mahajan, Adv.

Dr. Rajesh Pandey, Adv.

Ms. Shweta Mulchandani, Adv.

Ms. Tanuja Manjari Patra, Adv.

Ms. Aswathi M.K., AOR

Mr. Kaarthi, Adv.

Ms. Yogita Ahuja, Adv.

Mr. Mahesh Pandey, Adv.

Mr. V. N. Raghupathy, AOR

Mr. Manendra Pal Gupta, Adv.

Mr. Leishangthem Roshmani Kh, AOR

Ms. Anupama Ngangom, Adv.

Mr. Aribam Jankinath Sharma, Adv.

Mr. Ravi Prakash Mehrotra, AOR

Mr. Ankit Agarwal, Adv.

Mr. Amartya Singh, Adv.

Mr. Raghvendra Kumar, Adv.

Mr. Anand Kr. Dubey, Adv.

Mr. Narendra Kumar, AOR

Mr. Jayanth Muth Raj, Sr. Adv., AAG

Mr. M. Yogesh Kanna, AOR

Mr. S. Raja Rajeshwaran, Adv.

Mr. Sarvesh Singh Baghel, Adv.

Ms. Garima Prashad, AOR

Ms. Harshita Raghuvanshi, Adv.

Mr. Srinivas Vishven, Adv.

Ms. Akshara Chauhan, Adv.

Shreyase Agarwal, Adv.

Mr. Jatinder Kumar Bhatia, AOR

Mr. Ashutosh Kumar Sharma, Adv.

Mr. Suhaan Mukerji, Adv.

Ms. Astha Sharma, Adv.

Mr. Amit Verma, Adv.

Ms. Kajal Dalal, Adv.

Mr. Prastut Dalvi, Adv.

Mr. Naveen Kumar, Adv.

M/s. PLR Chambers And Co.

Mr. K.V. Jagdishvaran, Adv.

Ms. G. Indira, AOR

Mr. Piyush Dwivedi, AOR

Ms. Priyanka Dixit, Adv.

Mr. Nikhil Goel, AOR

Ms. Naveen Goel, Adv.

Mr. Dushyant Sarna, Adv.

Mr. Avijit Mani Tripathi, Adv.

Ms. Rekha Bakshi, Adv.

Mr. Shaurya Sahay, Adv.

Mr. T.K. Nayak, Adv.

Mr. P.S. Negi, Adv.

Ms. Shruti Ram Kochar, Adv.

Mr. K.V. Kharlyngdoh, Adv.

Mr. Apoorv Kurup, Adv.

Mr. Baibhaw Gahlaut, Adv.

Ms. Upma Bhatacherjee, Adv.

Mr. Arun R. Pedneker, Adv.

Mr. Hitesh Kumar Sharma, Adv.

Ms. Mukti Chaudhry, Adv.

Mr. K. Parameshwar, Adv.

Mr. M.V. Mukunda, Adv.

Mr. Ankit Goel, Adv.

Mr. R.K. Gupta, Adv.

Mr. S. Kumar Jain, Adv.

Mr. Hitesh Kumar Sharma, Adv.

Ms. Anika Nissar Sayyed, Adv.

Mr. Ranjan Mukherjee, Adv.

Mr. K.V. Kharlyngdoh, Adv.

Mr. Upendra Mishra, Adv.

Mr. T.K. Nayak, Adv.

Mr. Deniel Stone Lyngdoh, Adv.

Mr. Vikas Mahajan, AAG

Mr. Joydip Roy, Adv.

Mr. Vinod Sharma, Adv.

Mr. Anil Kumar, Adv.

Mr. Akash Verma, Adv.

Ms. Monika Gusain, Adv.

Mr. Chirag M. Shroff, Adv.

Ms. Mahima C. Shroff, Adv.

Ms. Yashika Verma, Adv.

Ms. Riya Thomas, Adv.

Dr. Manish Singhvi, Sr. Adv.

Mr. Shailja Nanda Mishra, Adv.

Mr. Arpit Parkash, Adv.

Mr. D.K. Devesh, Adv.

Mr. Sanjai Kumar Pathak, Adv.

Ms. Shashi Pathak, Adv.

Mr. Arvind Kumar Tripathi, Adv.

Mr. G. Prakash, Adv.

Mr. Jishnu M.L., Adv.

Mrs. Priyanka Prakash, Adv.

Mrs. Beena Prakash, Adv.

Mr. Vinay Arora, Adv.

Mr. Sanjeev Kumar Jain, Adv.

Mr. Hitesh Kumar Sharma, Adv.

Annika Nissar Sayyed, Adv.

for Mr. A.S. Syyed, Adv.

Ms. Sneha Kalita, Adv.

Mr. Kunal Chatterji, Adv.

Ms. Maitrayee Banerjee, Adv.

Mr. P.I. Jose, Adv.

Mr. Harikumar V, Adv.

Mr. M. Shoeb Alam, AOR

Mr. Mojahid Karim Khan, Adv.

Mr. D. Rama Krishna Reddy, Adv.

Ms. Poonam Kaushik, Adv.

Ms. Gagan Deep Kaur, Adv.

## UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following O R D E R

We have gone through the report of Shri Surinder S. Rathi, Registrar of the Supreme Court. In paragraphs 7.1 to 7.9 of the said Report, the relevant figures are mentioned, which are being extracted below:-

"7.1 Percentage share of different types of offences under POCSO (National Analysis):

#### POCSO Offence

**Rounded Percentage** 

Penetrative Sexual Assault {S.4} 32.1 Aggravated Penetrative Sexual 24 Assault {S.6}
Sexual Assault {S.8}
Aggravated Sexual Assault {S.10}
Sexual Harassment {S.12}
Use of Children for Pornography
{S.14 & 15}
Abetment {S.17}

7.2 Gender-wise percentage of victimisation under POCSO FIRs:

Girl - 80% Boy - 6% Other - 14%

7.3 Age profile of Victims under POCSO:

0-6 yrs - 4% 6-12 yrs - 13% 13-16 yrs - 60% 16-18 yrs - 22%

7.4 Whether accused is Known/Stranger or Relative of victim:

Friends & Neighbours - 27%
Relatives - 7%
School Staff - 1%
Other known person - 56%
Stranger - 9%

7.5 Time taken in completion of investigation:

Within 30 days - 18% 31-60 days - 17% 61-180 days - 29% 181-365 days - 16% More than one year - 20%

7.6 Time taken by Police in depositing Samples with FSL Laboratory:

Within 30 days - 51% 31-60 days - 19% 61-180 days - 17% 181-365 days - 6% More than one year - 7%

7.7 Percentage of cases in which support person is provided:

Support Person not provided - 96% Support Person provided - 4%

7.8 Percentage of cases in which interim compensation/final compensation provided:

Interim Compensation NOT provided - 99%
Interim Compensation provided - 1%
Final Compensation NOT provided - 99%
Final Compensation provided - 1%

7.9 Pendency of POCSO Cases in percentage (Sec.35(2) mandates trial to be completed within one year):

Pending for more than 4 years - 8%
Pending for 3-4 years - 10%
Pending for 2-3 years - 17%
Pending for 1-2 years - 28%
Pending for less than 1 year - 37%"

This report shows a shocking state of affairs. What to talk of trials, in 20% of the cases even investigation is not completed within one year. Virtually, no support persons are provided and no compensation is paid to the victims. Almost two-third of the cases are pending trial for more than one year.

It appears that at all stages of dealing with POCSO cases commencing with the investigation up to the stage of trial, the time lines stipulated under the Act have not been complied with. From the report of Shri Rathi, it appears that one major reason for the inability of the stake holders to meet the deadline stipulated under the Act, is lack of awareness and lack of dedication in completing investigation, etc. within the time frame stipulated and also inadequacy of the number of courts which has resulted in cases remaining pending beyond the period mandated for completion of trial under the Act.

We hope and expect that the Central Government will

play a much more proactive role to ensure that trials of cases arising out of the POCSO Act are completed in the time frame laid down in the Act.

We direct all the State Governments as well as the Union of India to do what is required to be done to ensure that all stages of investigation as well as trial, as contemplated under the Act, are completed within the time frame by creation of additional force for investigation. We further direct the Union of India and the State Governments to take steps for sensitization of officials associated with the investigation and also for creation or assignment of dedicated courts to try POCSO cases on top priority so that charge-sheets are filed within the mandatory period and trials are completed within the time frame contemplated under the Act.

Report of the action taken will be placed before this Court and will be considered by a Bench headed by Hon'ble Mr. Justice Deepak Gupta.

List the matter on 12th December, 2019.

The response of the Union of India on the draft scheme of compensation filed before this Court by Shri Surinder S. Rathi, Registrar of the Supreme Court be also submitted in the course of the aforesaid period.

We have also perused the report submitted by Shri Dharmesh Sharma, District & Sessions Judge (West), Tis Hazari Courts, Delhi and accede to his request for extending the time to complete the trial by 30<sup>th</sup> November, 2019.

(Chetan Kumar) A.R.-cum-P.S. (Anand Prakash)
Court Master

ITEM NO.305 COURT NO.1 SECTION PIL-W

## SUPREME COURT OF INDIA RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

## SUO MOTO WRIT (CRIMINAL) NO.1/2019

RE: ALARMING RISE IN THE NUMBER OF REPORTED CHILD RAPE INCIDENTS

WITH W.P.(C) No.819/2019 (PIL-W) (With appln.(s) for intervention)

Date: 13-11-2019 This petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM:

HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK GUPTA HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV KHANNA

For Petitioner(s)

By Courts Motion

WP(C) 819/2019 Mr. Manoj V. George, Adv.

Ms. Shilpa Liza George, Adv.

Mr. Aakarsh Kamra, AOR

Ms. Bhavika, Adv.

Mr. Renjit Abraham, Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr. Tushar Mehta, SG

Mr. A.N.S. Nadkarni, ASG

Ms. Suhasini Sen, Adv.

Mr. S.S. Rebello, Adv.

Mr. Rajat Nair, Adv.

Mr. N.K. Gokhle, Adv.

Ms. Neela Gokhale, Adv.

Mr. Ilam Paridi, Adv.

Ms. Shradha Agrawal, Adv.

Ms. Swati Ghildiyal, Adv.

Mr. Rajeev Ranjan Rajesh, Adv.

Mr. Raj Bahadur, Adv.

Mr. A.K. Sharma, Adv.

Mr. B.V. Balaram Das, Adv.

Mr. G.S. Makker, Adv.

Mr. P.N. Ravindran, Sr. Adv.

Mr. T.G.N. Nair, Adv.

Mr. V. G. Pragasam, AOR

Mr. S. Prabu Ramasubramanian, Adv.

Mr. S. Manuraj, Adv.

Ms. Uttara Babbar, AOR

Mr. Manan Bansal, Adv.

Mr. Sunny Choudhary, AOR

Mr. Sumeer Sodhi, AOR

Mr. Sherick Dhingra, Adv.

Mr. Subhasish Mohanty, AOR

Mr. Som Raj Choudhury, AOR

Mr. Prashant Kumar, Adv.

Mr. Shuvodeep Roy, AOR

Mr. Kabir Shankar Bose, Adv.

Mr. Prem Sunder Jha, AOR

Mr. Nishant Ramakantrao Katneshwarkar, AOR

Mr. Anoop Kandari, Adv.

Mr. Milind Kumar, AOR

Mr. Mahfooz Ahsan Nazki, AOR

Mr. Gowtham Polanki, Adv.

Mr. Avinash Tripathi, Adv.

Ms. K. Enatoli Sema, AOR

Mr. Amit Kumar Singh, Adv.

Ms. Jaspreet Gogia, AOR

Mr. Gopal Prasad, AOR

Mr. Jayesh Gaurav, Adv.

Shalya Agarwal, Adv.

Mr. Udit Bansal, Adv.

Ms. Astha Sharma, AOR

Mr. Siddhesh Kotwal, Adv.

Ms. Bansuri Swaraj, Adv.

Ms. Arshiya Ghose, Adv.

Mr. Divyansh Tiwari, Adv.

Ms. Ana Upadhyay, Adv.

Mr. Ashok Mathur, AOR

Ms. Aruna Mathur, Adv.

Mr. Avneesh Arputham, Adv.

Ms. Anuradha Arputham, Adv.

Ms. Geetanjali, Adv.

M/s. Arputham Aruna and Co.,

Mr. Arjun Garg, AOR

Mr. Aakash Nandolia, Adv.

Mr. S. Mahesh Sahasranaman, Adv.

Mr. Annam D. N. Rao, AOR

Mr. Venkatish Rao, Adv.

Mr. Rahul Mishra, Adv.

Ms. C. Sharma, Adv.

Mr. Aniruddha P. Mayee, AOR

Mr. A. Rajarajan, Adv.

Ms. Deepanwita Priyanka, Adv.

Mr. Aniruddha P. Mayee, AOR

Mr. A. Rajarajan, Adv.

Mr. Abhinav Mukerji, AOR

Mr. Samarth Khanna, Adv.

Mrs. Bihu Sharma, Adv.

Ms. Pratishtha Vij, Adv.

Mr. Abhimanyu Tewari, AOR

Ms. Eliza Bar, Adv.

Mr. P.H. Parekh, Sr. Adv.

Ms. Tanya Chaudhry, Adv.

Ms. Pratyusha Priyadarshini, Adv.

Ms. Nikita Pandey, Adv.

Mr. Nikhil Ramdev, Adv.

Ms. Ashna Bhatnagar, Adv.

For M/s. Parekh & Co.

Mr. R. P. Gupta, AOR

Intervenor-in-person

Mr. B. V. Balaram Das, AOR

Ms. Diksha Rai, AOR

Ms. Palak Mahajan, Adv.

Dr. Rajesh Pandey, Adv.

Ms. Shweta Mulchandani, Adv.

Ms. Tanuja Manjari Patra, Adv.

Ms. Aswathi M.K., AOR

Mr. Kaarthi, Adv.

Ms. Yogita Ahuja, Adv.

Mr. Mahesh Pandey, Adv.

Mr. V. N. Raghupathy, AOR

Mr. Manendra Pal Gupta, Adv.

Mr. Leishangthem Roshmani Kh, AOR

Ms. Anupama Ngangom, Adv.

Mr. Aribam Jankinath Sharma, Adv.

Mr. Ravi Prakash Mehrotra, AOR

Mr. Ankit Agarwal, Adv.

Mr. Amartya Singh, Adv.

Mr. Raghvendra Kumar, Adv.

Mr. Anand Kr. Dubey, Adv.

Mr. Narendra Kumar, AOR

Mr. Jayanth Muth Raj, Sr. Adv., AAG

Mr. M. Yogesh Kanna, AOR

Mr. S. Raja Rajeshwaran, Adv.

Mr. Sarvesh Singh Baghel, Adv.

Ms. Garima Prashad, AOR

Ms. Harshita Raghuvanshi, Adv.

Mr. Srinivas Vishven, Adv.

Ms. Akshara Chauhan, Adv.

Shreyase Agarwal, Adv.

Mr. Jatinder Kumar Bhatia, AOR

Mr. Ashutosh Kumar Sharma, Adv.

Mr. Suhaan Mukerji, Adv.

Ms. Astha Sharma, Adv.

Mr. Amit Verma, Adv.

Ms. Kajal Dalal, Adv.

Mr. Prastut Dalvi, Adv.

Mr. Naveen Kumar, Adv.

M/s. PLR Chambers And Co.

Mr. K.V. Jagdishvaran, Adv.

Ms. G. Indira, AOR

Mr. Piyush Dwivedi, AOR

Ms. Priyanka Dixit, Adv.

Mr. Nikhil Goel, AOR

Ms. Naveen Goel, Adv.

Mr. Dushyant Sarna, Adv.

Mr. Avijit Mani Tripathi, Adv.

Ms. Rekha Bakshi, Adv.

Mr. Shaurya Sahay, Adv.

Mr. T.K. Nayak, Adv.

Mr. P.S. Negi, Adv.

Ms. Shruti Ram Kochar, Adv.

Mr. K.V. Kharlyngdoh, Adv.

Mr. Apoorv Kurup, Adv.

Mr. Baibhaw Gahlaut, Adv.

Ms. Upma Bhatacherjee, Adv.

Mr. Arun R. Pedneker, Adv.

Mr. Hitesh Kumar Sharma, Adv.

Ms. Mukti Chaudhry, Adv.

Mr. K. Parameshwar, Adv.

Mr. M.V. Mukunda, Adv.

Mr. Ankit Goel, Adv.

Mr. R.K. Gupta, Adv.

Mr. S. Kumar Jain, Adv.

Mr. Hitesh Kumar Sharma, Adv.

Ms. Anika Nissar Sayyed, Adv.

Mr. Ranjan Mukherjee, Adv.

Mr. K.V. Kharlyngdoh, Adv.

Mr. Upendra Mishra, Adv.

Mr. T.K. Nayak, Adv.

Mr. Deniel Stone Lyngdoh, Adv.

Mr. Vikas Mahajan, AAG

Mr. Joydip Roy, Adv.

Mr. Vinod Sharma, Adv.

Mr. Anil Kumar, Adv.

Mr. Akash Verma, Adv.

Ms. Monika Gusain, Adv.

Mr. Chirag M. Shroff, Adv.

Ms. Mahima C. Shroff, Adv.

Ms. Yashika Verma, Adv.

Ms. Riya Thomas, Adv.

Dr. Manish Singhvi, Sr. Adv.

Mr. Shailja Nanda Mishra, Adv.

Mr. Arpit Parkash, Adv.

Mr. D.K. Devesh, Adv.

Mr. Sanjai Kumar Pathak, Adv.

Ms. Shashi Pathak, Adv.

Mr. Arvind Kumar Tripathi, Adv.

Mr. G. Prakash, Adv. Mr. Jishnu M.L., Adv.

Mrs. Priyanka Prakash, Adv.

Mrs. Beena Prakash, Adv.

Mr. Vinay Arora, Adv.

Mr. Sanjeev Kumar Jain, Adv. Mr. Hitesh Kumar Sharma, Adv. Annika Nissar Sayyed, Adv. for Mr. A.S. Syyed, Adv.

Ms. Sneha Kalita, Adv.

Mr. Kunal Chatterji, Adv. Ms. Maitrayee Banerjee, Adv.

Mr. P.I. Jose, Adv. Mr. Harikumar V, Adv.

Mr. M. Shoeb Alam, AOR Mr. Mojahid Karim Khan, Adv.

Mr. D. Rama Krishna Reddy, Adv.

Ms. Poonam Kaushik, Adv. Ms. Gagan Deep Kaur, Adv.

Mr. Surinder S. Rathi, Registrar

Mr. Rakesh Kr. Sharma

## UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following O R D E R

We have gone through the report of Shri Surinder S. Rathi, Registrar of the Supreme Court. In paragraphs 7.1 to 7.9 of the said Report, the relevant figures are mentioned, which are being extracted below:-

# "7.1 Percentage share of different types of offences under POCSO (National Analysis):

POCSO Offence	Rounded Percentage	е
Penetrative Sexual Assault {S.4} Aggravated Penetrative Sexual Assault {S.6}	32.1 24	
Sexual Assault {S.8}	31	

Aggravated Sexual Assault {S.10}	3
Sexual Harassment {S.12}	8
Use of Children for Pornography	1
{S.14 & 15}	
Abetment {S.17}	2

7.2 Gender-wise percentage of victimisation under POCSO FIRs:

Girl - 80% Boy - 6% Other - 14%

7.3 Age profile of Victims under POCSO:

0-6 yrs - 4% 6-12 yrs - 13% 13-16 yrs - 60% 16-18 yrs - 22%

7.4 Whether accused is Known/Stranger or Relative of victim:

Friends & Neighbours - 27%
Relatives - 7%
School Staff - 1%
Other known person - 56%
Stranger - 9%

7.5 Time taken in completion of investigation:

Within 30 days - 18% 31-60 days - 17% 61-180 days - 29% 181-365 days - 16% More than one year - 20%

7.6 Time taken by Police in depositing Samples with FSL Laboratory:

Within 30 days - 51% 31-60 days - 19% 61-180 days - 17% 181-365 days - 6% More than one year - 7%

7.7 Percentage of cases in which support person is provided:

Support Person not provided - 96%

#### Support Person provided - 4%

7.8 Percentage of cases in which interim compensation/final compensation provided:

Interim Compensation NOT provided - 99%
Interim Compensation provided - 1%
Final Compensation NOT provided - 99%
Final Compensation provided - 1%

7.9 Pendency of POCSO Cases in percentage (Sec.35(2) mandates trial to be completed within one year):

Pending for more than 4 years - 8%
Pending for 3-4 years - 10%
Pending for 2-3 years - 17%
Pending for 1-2 years - 28%
Pending for less than 1 year - 37%"

This report shows a shocking state of affairs. What to talk of trials, in 20% of the cases even investigation is not completed within one year. Virtually, no support persons are provided and no compensation is paid to the victims. Almost two-third of the cases are pending trial for more than one year.

It appears that at all stages of dealing with POCSO cases commencing with the investigation up to the stage of trial, the time lines stipulated under the Act have not been complied with. From the report of Shri Rathi, it appears that one major reason for the inability of the stake holders to meet the deadline stipulated under the Act, is lack of awareness and lack of dedication in completing investigation, etc. within the time frame stipulated and also inadequacy of the number of courts which has resulted in cases remaining pending beyond the period mandated for completion of trial under the Act.

We hope and expect that the Central Government will play a much more proactive role to ensure that trials of

cases arising out of the POCSO Act are completed in the time frame laid down in the Act.

We direct all the State Governments as well as the Union of India to do what is required to be done to ensure that all stages of investigation as well as trial, as contemplated under the Act, are completed within the time frame by creation of additional force for investigation. We further direct the Union of India and the State Governments to take steps for sensitization of officials associated with the investigation and also for creation or assignment of dedicated courts to try POCSO cases on top priority so that charge-sheets are filed within the mandatory period and trials are completed within the time frame contemplated under the Act.

Report of the action taken will be placed before this Court and will be considered by a Bench headed by Hon'ble Mr. Justice Deepak Gupta.

List the matter on 12th December, 2019.

The response of the Union of India on the draft scheme of compensation filed before this Court by Shri Surinder S. Rathi, Registrar of the Supreme Court be also submitted in the course of the aforesaid period.

We have also perused the report submitted by Shri Dharmesh Sharma, District & Sessions Judge (West), Tis Hazari Courts, Delhi and accede to his request for extending the time to complete the investigation by 30<sup>th</sup> November, 2019.

(Chetan Kumar)
A.R.-cum-P.S.

(Anand Prakash)
Court Master