HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN ATJODHPURS.B.

Civil Writ Petition No. 17884/2019

1. Om Prakash Vyas S/o Hari Narayan Vyas, Aged About 39Years, R/o Near Tanwar Builder, Antyodaya Nagar, Bikaner, Rajasthan.

2. Ramesh Meerwal S/o Shri Ramji Lal Meerwal, Aged About40 Years, R/o B-85, Mahesh Nagar, Jaipur, Rajasthan.

3. Abhishek Bhardwaj S/o Shri Yogendra Kumar Sharma,Aged About 33 Years, R/o B-3-445, Sudarshan Nagar,Bikaner, Rajasthan.

4. Gulab Singh Sisodia S/o Shri Gulab Singh, Aged About 41Years, R/o Billiyoun Ka Guda, Post Isarwas, TehsilSalumber, District Udaipur, Rajasthan.----Petitioners

Versus

1. State Of Rajasthan, Through Principle SecretaryDepartment Of Local Self Government, Secretariat, Jaipur.

2. Director, Local Self Government Department, GovernmentOf Rajasthan, G-3, Rajmahal Residential Area, C-Scheme, Near Civil Lines Phatak, Jaipur 16 Rajasthan.

3. Nagar Parishad Jalore, Through Its Commissioner, Jalore, Rajasthan.

4. Nagar Nigam Bikaner, Through Its Commissioner, Bikaner, Rajasthan.

5. Nagar Parishad Banswara, Through Its Commissioner, Banswara, Rajasthan.----Respondents

For Petitioner(s) : Mr. S.S. ChoudharyFor Respondent(s): HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN BHANSALIOrder03/12/2019This writ petition has been filed by the petitioners seeking arestraint against the respondents from terminating the services of petitioners from the post in question and replacing the petitionersfrom another set of contractual employees in DeendayalAntyodaya Yojna- National Urban Livelihoods Mission ('DAY-NULM'). It is, inter alia, indicated in the writ petition that the Schemein question was re-structured in 2014-15 and was renamed as indicated hereinbefore. For the purpose of manning various postsincluding post of Manager, one T&M Services Consulting PrivateLimited was engaged by the respondents. The petitioners applied for the same and were appointed videorder dated 03.09.2015 for a period of one year. However, extension has been granted to them time and again. It is submitted that now the respondents have issued notices inviting bids dated 08.03.2019 (Annexure-6) for short listing of HRAgencies as service provider for implementation of DAY-NULM.Submissions have been made that the process of engagingplacement agencies is an attempt to create an artificial barrierbetween the government and the petitioners and the engagement of placement agencies by the respondents for the purposeindicating in Annexure-6 is not justified Reliance has been placed on judgment of Hon'ble SupremeCourt in Mohd. Abdul Kadir & Anr. v. DGP, Assam & Ors. : (2009)6 SCC 611.Learned counsel for the petitioners made submissionsseeking to question validity of issuing the notice inviting bidsdated 08.03.2019 based on the submissions as

indicated in thewrit petition. After going through the record of the writ petition, learnedcounsel was put a query as to the cause of action for approaching

this Court, as in the entire writ petition not a word has beenindicated regarding the trigger for approaching this Court. Merelybecause the notice inviting bids has been issued ipso facto cannotprovide any cause of action to the petitioners. For challenging the notice except for referring to thejudgment in the case of Mohd. Abdul Kadir (supra), nothing hasbeen indicated in the writ petition so as to invoke the extraordinary jurisdiction of this Court under Article 226 of theConstitution of India. In view of the above, no case for interference in the presentwrit petition is made out, the same is, therefore, dismissed. (ARUN BHANSALI),