R/CR.MA/8266/2020 ORDER

## IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARATAT AHMEDABAD

## R/CRIMINALMISC.APPLICATIONNO. 8266 of 2020

# SHAH VIJAYBHAI ARVINDBHAI Versus STATE OF GUJARAT

\_\_\_\_\_\_

Appearance:

MR. N.D. NANANAVI, SENIOR ADVOCATE WITH MR ASHISH M DAGLI, ADVOCATE (2203) for the Applicant(s) No. 1 MS MOXA THAKKAR, APP for the Respondent(s) No. 1

\_\_\_\_\_\_

# CORAM: HONOURABLE MS. JUSTICE BELA M. TRIVEDI

Date: 22/06/2020

#### **ORALORDER**

- 1. In the present application, filed by the applicant seeking an anticipatory bail under Section 438 of Cr. P.C., notice was issued by this Court on 15.6.2020 making it returnable on 22.6.2020 i.e. today.
- 2. However, an unprecedented incident occurred in sense that today at 8.55 a.m., the the received a call undersigned on her mobile allotted to her by the High Court, from the mobile. Since it was an unknown number, the undersinged was a little hesitant to pick up the call, however, after a while, it was picked up, and the person calling stated that he was Niranjan Patel, MLA, Petlad. When the undersigned asked him as to why he had made the call, he said that there was one

R/CR.MA/8266/2020 ORDER

Criminal case listed today. At that point of time, the undersigned immediately stopped him and told him that he could not have called the undersigned like this, and disconnected the Thereafter, the said person again made three calls one after the other, however, they were not picked up. Immediately thereafter at 9 a.m., a message in English script was sent from said mobile number, stating that Criminal Case No.8266 of 2020 Vijaybhai Arvindbhai .. ma aje muddat che, (is today). Since the undersinged was disturbed and furious receiving the said call, she requested (I.T.) of High Court to the Registrar inquiry as to in whose name the said mobile number was registered. Не intimated undersigned that from the Truecaller, it appears that the said number belongs to one "Tosif Faiz Xerox", havig Vodefone connection.

3. When the matter was called out for hearing today through video conferencing, the Court asked Mr.Dagli as to when did he speak to his client last, and Mr.Dagli stated that he spoke to his client lastly on Friday i.e. on 19.6.2020. The Court thereafter asked him to take instructions as to who Mr. Niranjan Patel was and how he was connected with the applicant. The matter was kept back for half-an-hour. When the hearing was resumed after half-an-hour, the learned advocate Mr. Dagli along with the learned Sr. Advocate Mr. Nirupam D. Nanavaty, appeared. Mr.

R/CR.MA/8266/2020 ORDER

Dagli stated that as such the applicant has no connection with the Mr. Niranjan Patel, however, Mr. Niranjan Patel is very much insterested to see that the petitioner is arrested, and Mr.Patel had also approached Dy. S. P. for the arrest of the applicant.

4. Since, nobody can directly or indirectly try to approach the Court or to influence the Court, in a way try to pollute the stream of justice, it is necessary to obtain the details of the call made from the number registered with Vodafone. The Registrar (IT), Mr.Ashok Ukraniis requested to obtain the necessary details with regard to the registration/ownership of the said mobile number along with today's call details from Vodafone and intimate the Court by tomorrow i.e. 23.6.2020. Registrar (IT) may take assitance of the Registrar (Vigilance), if necessary to do so. Copy of the order be sent to the Registrar (IT), and Registrar (Vigilance), High Court.

WEB COPY

5. Put up on 23.6.2020.

(BELAM. TRIVEDI, J)

SINDHU NAIR