09.08.2020

Prof. (Dr.) Ranbir Singh, Vice Chancellor, National Law University

Delhi Dear Prof (Dr.) Singh,

We, the undersigned students and alumni of National Law University Delhi, are deeply concerned about the consultation being undertaken by National Law University Delhi ("University") for the reform of criminal law in India. We are writing this letter to you not only in your capacity as the Chairperson of the National Level Committee for Reforms in Criminal Laws ("Committee"), but also as our Vice Chancellor, under whose tutelage we embarked upon our legal journey.

Since its inception in 2008, NLU Delhi has constantly paved the way for excellence in legal research. Centres on diverse areas of law, including constitutional law, criminal justice, human rights, law and development, transparency and governance, have been set up under your leadership. As students at the University, many of us feel deeply enriched by our experiences within and outside the classroom.

Given our experiences at the University, we are concerned and disappointed with the manner in which the Committee has been undertaking a task of this magnitude, with profound implications for the criminal justice system in India. We have been closely following the developments around the Committee since its formation, and many of us have struggled to engage with the process. As you are aware, several letters have been sent to the Committee raising extremely serious concerns. For example, letters dated 08.07.2020 and 16.07.2020 endorsed by former judges, lawyers, academics and bureaucrats, and letter dated 09.07.2020 endorsed by practicing women lawyers from across the country, list in detail concerns around the consultation, which include:

- 1. The unexplained rush to complete a task of this nature within a narrow timeframe while India is in the midst of a lockdown necessitated by the COVID-19 pandemic. It is callous to require people to respond effectively during a global calamity.
- 2. Lack of representation in the Committee along intersecting vectors including gender, religion, caste, region, sexual orientation, disability, and profession.
- The Committee has excluded vast sections of the society from the reform process by failing to disseminate questionnaires in different languages, holding regional consultations, and circulating information about the reform exercise and the Committee's mandate widely.

- 4. The lack of rigour surrounding the method adopted by the Committee for the consultation, which limits any real responses to the issues plaguing the criminal justice system. We would also like to highlight that:
 - a. There has been no communication from the Committee regarding the reason for framing these particular questions and the method adopted to identify these problem areas.
 - b. The Committee has released three questionnaires till date containing more than 135 questions in total. Each of these questions are extremely specific and complex in nature, requiring careful and detailed consideration.
 - c. It is nearly impossible to engage with these questions meaningfully given the short and overlapping time-frames set by the Committee for responding to the multiple questionnaires.
 - d. The unwillingness of the Committee to release all questionnaires at once prevents participants from framing cohesive responses. At present, the questions are being released in tranches, which exposes the inherent fallacy in the Committee's approach to view the issues relating to the law and practice of the IPC, CrPC and Evidence Act divorced from each other. The tranched release of questionnaires hinders the ability of participants to view these issues in an integrated manner.
- 5. The lack of transparency around the method that will be adopted by the Committee before finalising recommendations. For example, whether all responses received by the Committee will be published, and whether the Committee will explain the process for examining these responses.
- 6. Lack of any full time members on the Committee: Members on this Committee have other full-time professional engagements which inhibits their ability to pay the attention necessary for the reform process.

Moreover, there has been insufficient engagement by the Committee on these key issues. The opaqueness surrounding the proceedings of the Committee are detrimental to the interests of the University, the Committee and the future of the criminal justice system in India. This is an indictment of the University's callous and exclusionary approach as it has publicly attested to exercising full autonomy in all functional aspects. Entrusting such an exercise to a public university comes with the responsibility of ensuring that a rigorous and inclusive consultative exercise is carried out as matters of criminal justice affect the life and liberty of all individuals and particularly the most marginalised sections of society.

During our time at the University, we have been trained to approach questions around law and justice with utmost care and rigour. The process being followed by the Committee is in sharp contrast to the skills and values imparted to us. We are writing to urge you to adhere to the

high standards for legal research, debate and policy making that were imparted to us under your guidance. To that end, we request that:

- A. The Committee immediately halts its proceedings.
- B. The Committee engages in a wider conversation with all stakeholders involved regarding the best possible manner in which this exercise should be conducted, including the method for public participation in the reform process, scope of the reform exercise, and composition and functioning of any Committee to oversee the process.
- C. The Committee responds to this letter, and organises an open meeting with students and alumni to engage with our concerns.

Sincerely,

Alumni & students of NLU Delhi (signatures on the next below)

Alumni and Students of NLU Delhi

- 1. Aakanksha Bhardwaj, 2020
- 2. Aarushi Mahajan, 2017
- 3. Aastha Gangwal, 2022
- 4. Abhay Pratap Singh, 2021
- 5. Akanshha Agrawal, 2022
- 6. Akhil Bhardwaj, 2015
- 7. Akshat Srivastava, 2016
- 8. Alind Gupta, 2021
- 9. Amber Tickoo, 2021
- 10. Anuj Bhave, 2022
- 11. Anuj Dubey, 2022
- 12. Anuja Punia, 2020
- 13. Anuna Tiwari, 2021
- 14. Anuradha Godrey, 2016
- 15. Aradhana CV, 2017
- 16. Aroon Menon, 2016
- 17. Arpit Agrawal, 2017
- 18. Arshdeep Singh, 2020
- 19. Arshu John, 2015
- 20. Arvind Kumar Tiwari, 2023
- 21. Ayan Gupta, 2024
- 22. Balaji Harish Iyer, 2015
- 23. Bharat Gupta, 2016
- 24. Bharti, 2020
- 25. Chinmay Kanojia, 2015
- 26. Devanshi Saxena, 2016
- 27. Devina Malaviya, 2016
- 28. DVL VIDYA, 2019
- 29. Ekta Tomar, 2021
- 30. Gauri Tendulkar, 2015
- 31. Hardeep Singh, 2013
- 32. Harikartik Ramesh, 2022
- 33. Harsh Panwar, 2024
- Harsh Vardhan Bhojak, 2024
- 35. Harshad, 2014
- 36. Hemant Kothari, 2016
- 37. Himaa, 2020

- 38. Ishita Sharma, 2015 39. Jahnavi Singh, 2016 40. Jigme Palzer Tshering, 2024 41. John Sebastian, 2013 42. Karthik Inzamam Prasad, 2020 43. Kaushik Thanugonda, 2019 44. Keerthana, 2015 45. Kushaan Dosajh, 2018 46. Lakshana Ramakrishan, 2021 47. Lakshya Gupta, 2017 48. Maitreyi Bhat, 2014 49. Manas Manu, 2021 50. Manisha Bhau, 2021 51. Marilyn Joanna Khakha, 2017 52. Maulshree Pathak, 2015 53. Mini Saxena, 2015 54. Muskaan Nandwani, 2023 55. Nidhi Chikkerur, 2015 56. Nishtha Sinha, 2017 57. Nitya Bansal, 2022 58. Parul, 2016 59. Parul Sharma, 2016 60. Pawani Mathur, 2017 61. Prabhat Singh, 2024 62. Prashanth K P, 2019 63. Priyashrav, 2018 64. R S Lakshman, 2018 65. Raunaq Chandrashekar, 2016 66. Renuka Rajan, 2014 67. Rishika Sahgal, 2015 68. Ritika Bhasin, 2018 69. Ritiraj, 2016 70. Rohan Andrew Naik, 2019 71. Rohan Dhariwal, 2018 72. RUM SHOUTE, 2021 73. S N Samith, 2015 74. Sanskriti Sinha, 2023 75. Saral Minocha, 2017
- 76. Shreya Rastogi, 2013

- 77. Shruti Tiwari, 2023
- 78. Shubham Chaudhary, 2022
- 79. Shubhangi Agarwalla, 2021
- 80. Shweta Kabra, 2017
- 81. Siddhant Raj, 2021
- 82. Siddhant Sachdeva, 2019
- 83. Smriti Sharma, 2020
- 84. Sonal Sarda, 2017
- 85. Srishti Maheshwari, 2014
- 86. Sucheta Roy, 2015
- 87. Sushant Singh, 2017
- 88. Tanvee Nandan, 2014
- 89. Tijil Thakur, 2021
- 90. Vaibhav Aggarwal, 2017
- 91. Vaibhav Dutt, 2017
- 92. Vaibhav Tiwari, 2015
- 93. Vanya Chhabra, 2018
- 94. Vasundhra Kaul, 2020
- 95. Vedangini Bisht, 2022
- 96. Vidushi Prajapati, 2020
- 97. Vikramaditya, 2013