

IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI +  
CS(OS) 278/2020 I.A. 8512/2020 (under Order XXXIX Rule 1  
and 2 CPC) I.A. 8513/2020 (under Section 151 CPC-leave to  
file additional documents) I.A. 8514/2020 (under Order XIII  
Rule 1 CPC-exemption from filing original documents) I.A.  
8515/2020 (exemption from filing complete court fee)

T.V. TODAY NETWORK LIMITED ..... Plaintiff Represented  
by: Mr.Hrishikesh Baruah and Mr.Pranav Jain, Advocates.

versus

ANURAG SRIVASTAVA & ORS. .... Defendant Represented  
by: None for D1 Mr.Deepak Gogia, Advocate for D2- Twitter  
Inc. Mr.Neel Mason, Mr.Vihan Dang, Ms.Sanyukta Banerjie  
and Ms.Aditi Umopathy, Advocates for D3-Google LLC.  
CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE MUKTA GUPTA O R D E R %  
24.09.2020 The hearing has been conducted through video  
conferencing. I.A.8514/2020 (exemption from filing original  
documents)

1. Original documents, if any, be filed within two weeks of the resumption of the normal Court functioning. 2. Application is disposed of. Digitally Signed By:SANDEEP KUMAR Signing Date:24.09.2020 22:18:53 This file is digitally signed by PS to HMJ Mukta Gupta Signature Not Verified CS(OS) 278/2020 Page 1 of 4 I.A. 8513/2020 (under Section 151 CPC-leave to file additional documents) 1. Plaintiff is permitted to file additional documents within 30 days. 2. Application is disposed of. I.A.8515/2020 (exemption from filing court fee) 1. By this application, the plaintiff seeks extension of time for filing the Court fees. 2. Court fees be filed within two weeks. 3. Application is disposed of.
- CS(OS) 278/2020 I.A. 8512/2020 (under Order XXXIX Rule 1 and 2 CPC) 1. Plaint be registered as a suit. 2. Issue

summons in the suit and notice in the application to the defendants. 3. Learned counsels appearing for the defendant Nos. 2 and 3 accept summons in the suit and notice in the application. 4. Issue summons in the suit and notice in the application to the defendant No. 1 on the plaintiff taking steps through Email, SMS, Whatsapp, speed post and courier, returnable before this Court on 22nd January, 2021. 5. Written statements to the suit and reply affidavits to the application along with the affidavits of admission-denial will be filed within thirty days. Replication and rejoinder affidavit along with the affidavit of admission-denial within three weeks thereafter. 6. The present suit has been preferred by the plaintiff which is a media conglomerate and transmits & broadcasts the news etc. through print media as well as electronic media. The plaintiff is also engaged in diverse business Digitally Signed By:SANDEEP KUMAR Signing Date:24.09.2020 22:18:53 This file is digitally signed by PS to HMJ Mukta Gupta Signature Not Verified CS(OS) 278/2020 Page 1 of 4 activities ranging from printing and publishing of magazines, journals, periodicals and newspapers to the running of news channels, infotainment channels, organizing conferences, seminars etc. 7. By this suit, the plaintiff seeks decree of permanent and mandatory injunction against the defendant No. 1 restraining him from making the systematic attack on the plaintiff's reputation by making false and baseless tweets. The offending tweets are mentioned at pages 121, 123 and 124 of the plaintiff's documents, which, inter alia, show that the defendant No. 1 is leveling allegations against the plaintiff and one of its main anchors of having taken a sum of ₹ 8 Crores to interview a personality presently in news. It is also alleged that at the time of the birth of the said anchor itself, the concerned nurse made obnoxious remarks and that the said anchor is involved in making fake news. The said anchor has also been compared to a person, who is facing extradition proceedings. 8. Learned counsel for the plaintiff fairly states that the said three tweets have now been taken down by the

defendant No. 1, however, on search through Google, the same are available. In the light of these facts, learned counsel for the defendant No. 3 Google LLC states that he would provide a web form to the learned counsel for the plaintiff who may fill up the same and apply for deletion of search results and the said tweets will be taken down. Learned counsel for the defendant No. 3 further states that by passage of time, otherwise also, these tweets will not show up in the search in view of the new search results coming up. 9.

Considering the averments in the plaint as also the documents filed therewith, this Court finds that the plaintiff has made out a prima facie case Digitally Signed  
By:SANDEEP KUMAR Signing Date:24.09.2020 22:18:53  
This file is digitally signed by PS to HMJ Mukta Gupta  
Signature Not Verified CS(OS) 278/2020 Page 1 of 4 in its favour and in case no ad-interim injunction is granted, the plaintiff would suffer an irreparable loss. The balance of convenience also lies in favour of the plaintiff.

Consequently, till the next date of hearing before this Court, the defendant No. 1 is restrained from directly or indirectly publishing, re-publishing, sending or posting any tweet or information either in the electronic form or through internet, email or social media, or any print or communication media whatsoever, the statement or post which is derogatory/defamatory in its contents to the plaintiff or its top management or its anchors or its other office bearers.

The defendant No. 2 shall suspend/block the twitter handles of defendant No. 1 and will file the basic subscription information of the account holder of the twitter accounts impugned i.e. '@theanuragkts' and '@theanuragoffice' in the Court and supply a copy thereof to the learned counsel for the plaintiff as a password protected document before the next date of hearing. 10. Compliance under Order XXXIX Rule 3 CPC be done within one week. 11. Copy of the order be uploaded on the website of this Court. MUKTA GUPTA, J. SEPTEMBER 24, 2020/akb Digitally Signed  
By:SANDEEP KUMAR Signing Date:24.09.2020 22:18:53

2. This file is digitally signed by PS to HMJ Mukta Gupta  
Signature Not Verified