IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD

R/SPECIAL CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO. 773 of 2021

	GHASURA RIYAZKHAN JITUBHAI Versus STATE OF GUJARAT
Appearance: MR NK MAJMUDAR(430) for the Applicant(s) No. 1 for the Respondent(s) No. 2,3,4,5,6,7 PUBLIC PROSECUTOR(2) for the Respondent(s) No. 1	
CORAM:	HONOURABLE MS. JUSTICE SONIA GOKANI and HONOURABLE MS. JUSTICE SANGEETA K. VISHEN Date : 19/01/2021 OPERATIVE ORAL ORDER PER : HONOURABLE MS. JUSTICE SONIA GOKANI)

1. There are various allegations have been made in this petition as well as by way of a separate application made by the brother of and that also involves some of the parties, who are not before this Court, and therefore, we refrain ourselves from entering into those allegations. However, considering overall facts and circumstances, this is the fittest case to accede to the request of the petitioner and accordingly, we *ISSUE* the following directions:

(I) The order of **REMAND**, Dated: 18.01.2021, passed by the learned Magistrate is **QUASHED** and set aside qua the corpus-;

(ii) There shall be *IMMEDIATE* release of on her executing personal bond in the sum of Rs.10,000/- before the Court concerned and they shall be at *LIBERTY* to take all legal recourse, including the preferring of a

petition for quashment;

(iii) Further, , on his executing personal bond
in the sum of Rs.10,000/- with one *SURETY* of the like amount
before the Court concerned, shall also be released
FORTHWITH;

(iv) We **DIRECT** the Range IG concerned to **INQUIRE** into the matter, more particularly, considering the conduct of Respondent Nos. 5 and 6, in whose custody, the couple has been detained for all these days, and report to the DIG;

(v) While conducting such inquiry, the Range IG concerned shall bear in mind that this is the case, where, the undue fervor is shown, on account of this being an inter-religion marriage so also the golden words of the Supreme Court in such matters, where, the issue had come-up, particularly, of honour killing in case of inter-caste / inter-religion marriage in case of '*LATA SINGH VS*. *STATE OF U.P. & ANOTHER*', (2006) 5 SCC 475 and in case of '*SHAKTI VAHINI VS. UNION OF INDIA & OTHERS*', (2018) 7 SCC 192.

(vi) A request has come on the part of the learned Advocate, Mr.Majmudar, appearing for the petitioner to provide the couple

police protection and we also inquired from the SP, Mr. Duggal, so also the learned PP, Mr. Amin, who have ensured to arrange for the same. Since, is serving at Surat, and is desirous to go to Surat, SP, Mr. Duggal, and the learned PP, Mr. Amin, has *ENSURED* that they shall *INTIMATE* the Commissioner of Police, Surat, who shall grant the couple protection, initially, for the period of *FOUR WEEKS*, and thereafter, he shall take a call and *SHALL DECIDE*, as to whether the same requires to be continued or not;

(vii) In wake of the fact that the couple is native of Palanpur, as and when they are required to go to Palanpur, at least, one week in *ADVANCE*, they shall make a request to the SP, Palanpur, for providing them police protection, who shall provide them the same;

2. Let a copy of this order be *GIVEN* to the learned APP for her onward communication and due compliance. Rule is made absolute, accordingly.

C VT



UMESH/-