ITEM NO.31 Court 9 (Video Conferencing) SECTION PIL-W

SUPREME COURT OF INDIA RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

SMW [C] No(s).2/2021

In RE: Inaction of the Governments in appointing President and Members/Staff of Districts and State Consumer Disputes Redressal Commission and inadequate infrastructure across IndiaPetitioner(s)

VERSUS

Union of India and ors. & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(MR. GOPAL SANKARANARAYANAN, LEARNED SENIOR ADVOCATE ALONGWITH MR. ADITYA NARAIN, LEARNED ADVOCATE IS AMICUS IN INSTANT MATTER. IA No. 32189/2021 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T. IA No. 26636/2021 - INTERVENTION/IMPLEADMENT)

Date : 12-04-2021 These matters were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY KISHAN KAUL HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HEMANT GUPTA

- Mr. Gopal Sankaranarayanan, Sr. Adv.AC
- Mr. Aditya Narain, Adv./AC
- Ms. Prerna priyadarshini, AOR
- Ms. Priyashree Sharma Ph., Adv.
- Mr. Shrutanjaya Bhardwaj, Adv.
- Mr. Adiya Narain, Adv./AC
- Mr. Arnav Narain, AOR
- Ms. Anushree Narain, Adv.
- Mr. Arjun Jain, Adv.
- Mr. Mishra Raj Shekhar, Adv.
- For Petitioner(s) Mr. Omprakash Ajitsingh Parihar, AOR Ms. Prerna Priyadarshini, AOR
- For Respondent(s) Mr. V. Shekhar, Sr. Adv. Mr. Ankur Prakash, AOR Mr. Amit Yadav, Adv.
 - Ms. Neela Kedar Gokhale, Adv.
 - Mr. B.K. Satija, Adv.
 - Ms. Swarupama Chaturvedi, Adv.
 - Ms. Shraddha Deshmukh, Adv.
 - Mr. Raj Bahadur Yadav, AOR

Mr. Chirag M. Shroff, AOR Ms. Abhilasha Bharti, Adv. Mr. Sushant Dogra, Adv. Ms. Taruna Ardhendumauli Prasad, AOR Mr. Parth Awasthi, Adv. Mr. Sachin Patil, AOR Mr. Rahul Chitnis, Adv. Mr. Aaditya A. Pande, Adv. Mr. Geo Joseph, Adv. Mr. Gurinder Singh Gill, Sr. Adv. Mr. P.P. Nayak, Adv. Ms. Aashna Gill, Adv. Mr. Pratap Singh Gill, Adv. Ms. Bhupinder, Adv. Ms. Vandana Hooda, Adv. Mr. Kuldeep Singh Kuchaliya, Adv. Mr. Ajay Pal, AOR Mr. Anil Grover, Sr. AAG Ms. Noopur Singhal, Adv. Mr. Rahul Khurana, Adv. Mr. Satish Kumar, Adv. Mr. Sanjay Kumar Visen, AOR Mr. Mahfooz Ahsan Nazki, AOR Mr. Polanki Gowtham, Adv. Mr. Shaik Mohamad Haneef, Adv. Mr. T. Vijaya Bhaskar Reddy, Adv. Mr. Amitabh Sinha, Adv. Mr. Shrey Sharma, Adv. Mr. Abhimanyu Tewari, AOR Ms. Eliza Bar, Adv. Mr. Manish Kumar, AOR Mr. S.C. Verma, AG Mr. Manoj Kumar Singh, AAG Mr. Sumeer Sodhi, AOR Ms. Simran Agarwal, Adv. Mr. Surjendu Sankar Das, AOR Ms. Sakshi Tibrewal, Adv. Ms. Vishakha, Adv. Ms. Deepanwita Priyanka, AOR Mr. Vikas Mahajan, Sr. Adv./AAG Mr. Vivek Mahajan, Adv. Mr. Vinod Sharma, Adv.

Mr. Anil Kumar, Adv. Mr. Amarnath Singh, Adv. Mr. Surinder Singh Manak, Adv. Mr. Vinod Sharma, AOR Mr. Shubhranshu Padhi, AOR Mr. Ashish Yadav, Adv. Mr. Rakshit Jain, Adv. Mr. Vishal Banshal, Adv. Mr. Jishnu M.L., Adv. Ms. Priyanka Prakash, Adv. Ms. Beena Prakash, Adv. Mr. G. Prakash, AOR Mr. Sourabh Mishra, AAG Mr. Gopal Jha, AOR Mr. Pukhrambam Ramesh Kumar, AOR Ms. Anupama Ngangom, Adv. Mr. Karun Sharma, Adv. Mr. Avijit Mani Tripathi, AOR Mr. Shaurya Sahay, Adv. Mr. Shaurya Sahay, Adv. Mr. Siddhesh Kotwal, Adv. Mr. Divyansh Tiwari, Adv. Ms. Ana Upadhyay, Adv. Ms. Manya Hasija, Adv. Mr. Nirnimesh Dube, AOR Ms. K. Enatoli Sema, AOR Mr. Amit Kumar Singh, Adv. Mr. Apratim Animesh Thakur, Adv. Ms. Prachi Hasija, Adv. Mr. Kiran Kumar Patra, AOR Ms. Uttara Babbar, AOR Ms. Ranjeeta Rohatgi, AOR Dr. Manish Singhvi, Sr. Adv. Mr. Nishanth Patil, AOR Mr. Sameer Abhyankar, AOR Mr. Amish Tandon, Adv. Mr. Nalin Talwar, Adv. Mr. Ayush Beotra, Adv. Mr. Varun Tandon, Adv.

Mr. Dipin Tamang, Adv. Mr. P. Venkat Reddy, Adv. Mr. Prashant Tyagi, Adv. Mr. P. Srinivas Reddy, Adv. M/S. Venkat Palwai Law Associates, AOR Mr. Shuvodeep Roy, AOR Mr. J.K. Sethi, Dy. AG Mr. Ashiwan Mishra, Adv. Mr. Rajeev Kumar Dubey, Adv. Mr. Kamlendra Mishra, AOR Ms. Diksha Rai, AOR Mr. Suhaan Mukerji, Adv. Mr. Vishal Prasad, Adv. Mr. Nikhil Parikshith, Adv. Mr. Abhishek Manchanda, Adv. Mr. Sayandeep Pahari, Adv. M/S. PLR Chambers And Co., AOR Mr. K.V. Jagdishvaran, Adv. Ms. G. Indira, AOR Mr. V. G. Pragasam, AOR Mr. S. Prabhu Ramasubramanian, Adv. Mr. Suyash Srivastava, Adv. Mr. Piyush Dwivedi, AOR

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following O R D E R

We note with regret that despite a lapse of a month and a half the Government of India has not found time to file response to specific aspects posed in our order dated 22.02.2021. Learned counsel appearing for respondent No.1/Union of India requests of two weeks' further time as she submits that the information forthcoming to be incorporated in the affidavit would not have been in satisfaction of what was sought by the Court. We can hardly be expected to coordinate between the officers and the legal department of the Union of India, but all we can say that we will not show any further indulgence and specific queries must be met with specific answers in terms of our order.

We grant two weeks' time for the said purpose, failing which

4

we would have to call upon the Secretary concerned to explain the position under his affidavit.

We are also constrained to note that 14 States have not taken the trouble of placing their stand on record before us. We expect them to do the needful within two weeks' time as prayed for, failing which we will have to call upon the Chief Secretary to explain the situation under his affidavit.

Such of the States who have not answered the questionnaire framed by the Amicus are directed to ensure that they are answered within a two weeks' period of time.

List on 06.05.2021.

(ASHA SUNDRIYAL) ASTT. REGISTRAR-cum-PS (POONAM VAID) COURT MASTER (NSH)